arXiv:1602.08923v1 [hep-th] 29 Feb 2016

NIT-KMP-2016/01

Discretization of the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model

Masaru Kamata[1], **Masayoshi Sekiguchi**[2] **and Yuuki Tadokoro**[3]

Natural Science Education, National Institute of Technology, Kisarazu College,
2-11-1 Kiyomidai-Higashi, Kisarazu, Chiba, 292-0041, Japan

E-mail: [1]kamata@kisarazu.ac.jp, [2]masa@kisarazu.ac.jp,
[3]tado@nebula.n.kisarazu.ac.jp

Abstract. We derive a discretized version of the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model through a discrete theory of complex analysis of Mercat. Adopting a simple two-dimensional rectangular lattice, we obtain a discrete energy $E^{\text{disc.}}$ and a discrete area $\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}$, where a function f is related to a stereographic projection governed by a unit vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ of the model. Both discrete energy and area satisfy the inequality $E^{\text{disc.}} \geq |\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}|$, which is saturated if and only if the function f is discrete (anti-)holomorphic. The vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ parametrizing the function describes classical discrete (anti-)instanton solutions on the lattice. Two discrete instanton solutions are illustrated, which are derived from polynomials up to degree two in z. Except for a factor 2, the discrete energy and the area tend to the usual continuous energy E and the area $\mathcal{A}(f) = 4\pi q,\ q \in \pi_2(S^2)$, respectively, as the lattice spacings tend to zero.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.40.Tt, 05.45.Yv, 05.50.+q

Keywords: nonlinear sigma model, discretization, discrete holomorphic function.

1. Introduction

The BPST instanton [1] in the four-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^4$ is a solution to the (anti-)self-dual Yang–Mills equations $F_{\mu\nu} = \pm *F_{\mu\nu}$ and these equations minimize the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4x\, F_{\mu\nu}^2 = \|F \mp *F\|^2 \pm 8\pi^2 q \geq 8\pi^2|q|, \tag{1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is some norm and $q \in \pi_3(S^3)$ is the instanton number. Similarly, the BPS monopole [2] in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is a solution to the (anti-)Bogomol'nyi equations $F_{jk} = \pm\varepsilon_{jkl}D_l\phi$ that minimizes the energy

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x\, [\boldsymbol{B}^2 + (\boldsymbol{D}\phi)^2] = \|F \mp *D\phi\|^2 \pm 4\pi|\langle\phi\rangle|q \geq 4\pi|\langle\phi\rangle||q|. \tag{2}$$

Here $\boldsymbol{B}$ is the magnetic field, $\langle\phi\rangle$ the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs scalar ϕ, and $q \in \pi_2(S^2)$ a topological number, which is proportional to the magnetic charge.

In $\mathbb{R}^2$, the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model, or the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ model with $N = 2$, shares the above properties with instantons and monopoles. Indeed, we can show that the energy E of this model fulfills the following inequality

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x\,(\partial_\mu n^\alpha)^2 = \left\| \frac{df \mp i * df}{1+|f|^2} \right\|^2 \pm 4\pi q \geq 4\pi|q|. \tag{3}$$

Here (n^α) $(1 \leq \alpha \leq 3) =: \boldsymbol{n}(x,y)$ is a unit vector defined on $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$. A function f of $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined by a stereographic projection from a sphere $S^2_{|\boldsymbol{n}|=1}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ and its explicit form is given by [3, 4, 5]

$$f := \frac{n^1 + in^2}{1+n^3}. \tag{4}$$

The norm of a 1-form α and the Hermitian scalar product of two 1-forms α and β are defined by $\|\alpha\|^2 = (\alpha,\alpha)$ and $(\alpha,\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x\, g^{\mu\nu}(x)\alpha_\mu(x)\bar{\beta}_\nu(x)$, respectively. The metric in the latter is set to $g^{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(1,1)$ for this paper. Note that we need appropriate boundary conditions on the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ at infinity to make the energy E of (3) finite. The topological number $q \in \pi_2(S^2)$, which classifies the map $\boldsymbol{n}\colon S^2 \cong \mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\} \to S^2_{|\boldsymbol{n}|=1}$, is defined by

$$q = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x\ \boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\partial_x\boldsymbol{n}\times\partial_y\boldsymbol{n}). \tag{5}$$

If the complex function $f(z)$ (4) is (anti-)holomorphic, then its real and imaginary parts satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations (or their anti-versions), which are expressible in a coordinate independent manner as $df \mp i * df = 0$. Moreover, q is (negative) positive as immediately seen from the positive semi-definiteness of the energy E of (3). In these holomorphic and anti-holomorphic cases, we have an equality $E = 4\pi|q|$, and the (anti-)holomorphic function f gives a classical (anti-)instanton solution. The nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model is used in [3] to describe two-dimensional isotropic ferromagnetism and the general instanton solution with arbitrary $q \in \mathbb{N}$ is obtained there.

The discrete complex analysis has a somewhat long history. There exist many concepts and theorems corresponding to those in the ordinary complex analysis, such as discrete holomorphic function, harmonic function, and Cauchy's integral theorem. Wilson [6] uses a triangular cellular decomposition of the Riemann surface and Mercat [7, 8] uses arbitrary two-dimensional metric graphs and their dual graphs. They both obtain, for example, discrete period matrices, which tend to the usual one in a continuum limit. Bobenko [9] develops a discrete differential geometry and apply it to the discrete integrable equations.

In this paper, we discretize the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model by employing the discrete theory of complex analysis of Mercat [7, 8]. In Section 2, we adopt a simple two-dimensional rectangular graph Γ with lattice spacings $(2a, 2b)$ and derive a discrete version of the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model that is defined on a double graph $\Lambda := \Gamma\cup\Gamma^*$, where Γ^* is the dual graph to Γ. Although our graph Γ is quite simple, a more general setting is possible [7, 8]. By expanding the norm squared $\|(df \mp i * df)/(1+|f|^2)\|^2$ in discrete quantities, we can obtain a discrete energy $E^{\mathrm{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)}$ (25), a discrete area

$\mathcal{A}(f)^{\rm disc.}_{(2a,2b)}$ (26), and an inequality (20) relating the two; these are main results of this paper. Saturation of the inequality leads to the discrete (anti-)holomorphicity of the function $f(z)$, which is here given by a stereographic projection from a sphere $S^2_{|\boldsymbol{n}|=1}$ to the double graph Λ. In Section 3, we illustrate certain discrete instanton solutions, which are derived from polynomials of up to degree two in z. These polynomials are discrete holomorphic on the double graph Λ. In Section 4, we consider a continuum limit of the discretized nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model derived in the preceding section. The discretized model tends to the original one, up to a factor 2, when the lattice spacings tend to zero: $(2a, 2b) \to (+0, +0)$. Section 5 is devoted to concluding remarks.

2. Discretization of the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model

We define [7, 8] the (oriented) double graph $\Lambda := \Gamma \cup \Gamma^*$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ is a union of vertices Γ_0, edges Γ_1, and faces Γ_2, and Γ^* is the dual graph to Γ. Note that the edges are oriented and $e^{**} = -e$ under the consecutive operations of the dual. We define a discrete metric ℓ on edges $\Lambda_1 = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_1^*$, the value of which is the positive length of the edge $e \in \Lambda_1$. A discrete conformal structure ρ on edges Λ_1 is a real positive function, its value being the ratio of the lengths $\rho(e) := \ell(e^*)/\ell(e)$. In the following we adopt a simple rectangular graph Γ with lattice spacings $(2a, 2b)$, where a and b are real positive constants (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Two-dimensional graph $\Lambda = \Gamma \cup \Gamma^*$,
Solid line —— : Γ, Dotted line $\cdots\cdots$: Γ^*

We have $\rho(e) = b/a$ for any horizontal edge $e \in \Gamma_1$ and $\rho(e) = a/b$ for any vertical

edge $e \in \Gamma_1$, and we have similar positive values for $e \in \Gamma_1^*$.

To derive a discrete expression of the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model, we now expand the norm squared $\|(df \mp i * df)/(1+|f|^2)\|^2$ by means of discrete quantities defined on Λ. Here d and $*$ are the discrete differential operator and the Hodge star operator on forms, respectively, and they are defined as follows.

For every two-dimensional graph G, we define a discrete differential operator d_G or a coboundary operator dual to the boundary operator ∂ operating on the graph G. Application of $d_\Lambda =: d$ to 0- and 1-forms is operationally defined [7, 8] as follows

$$\int_e df := f(e_+) - f(e_-), \tag{6}$$

$$\iint_F d\alpha := \oint_{\partial F} \alpha, \tag{7}$$

where $f \in C^0(\Lambda)$ is a 0-form, i.e., an ordinary function, $\alpha \in C^1(\Lambda)$ is a 1-form, and e_+ and e_- denote the terminal point and the initial point of the edge e, respectively. Definitions (6) and (7) can be considered as Stokes' formulae. The Hodge star operator $*$ on the 1-form α is defined through the dual graph Γ^* by

$$\int_e *\alpha := -\rho(e^*) \int_{e^*} \alpha, \tag{8}$$

with similar definitions for 0- and 2-forms, although we do not use them in this paper. A function f is said to be discrete (anti-)holomorphic if it satisfies a discrete Cauchy–Riemann equations (or their anti-versions)

$$df \mp i * df = 0. \tag{9}$$

If we integrate both sides of (9) along any edge $e \in \Lambda_1$, then the formulae (6) and (8) induce the equation

$$f(e_+) - f(e_-) \pm i\rho(e^*)\left(f(e_+^*) - f(e_-^*)\right) = 0, \tag{10}$$

which is a finite version of the discrete Cauchy–Riemann equations (or their anti-versions) (9).

To proceed further, we need, in accordance with an argument of [7, 8], the following definition

$$\int_e f \cdot \alpha := \frac{f(e_+) + f(e_-)}{2} \int_e \alpha. \tag{11}$$

This ensures that the operator d is a derivation for functions f and g, i.e., it obeys the Leibniz rule for their product:

$$d(fg) = g\,df + f dg. \tag{12}$$

If we integrate both sides of (12) along an arbitrary edge $e \in \Lambda_1$, then we have from (6) and (11) the identity

$$f(e_+)g(e_+) - f(e_-)g(e_-) = \frac{g(e_+) + g(e_-)}{2}(f\,(e_+) - f\,(e_-)) + \{f \leftrightarrow g\}, \tag{13}$$

where $\{f \leftrightarrow g\}$ denotes the term that is obtained from the preceding one by exchanging f and g. Conversely, if we start with the identity (13), then we obtain (12) through

(11) as the edge $e \in \Lambda_1$ is arbitrary. We can say that the infinitesimal relation (12) and the finite version (13) are equivalent. We then have

$$\int_e \frac{df \mp i * df}{1+|f|^2} = \frac{\lambda(e_+)+\lambda(e_-)}{2}\Big(f(e_+)-f(e_-) \pm i\rho(e^*)(f(e_+^*)-f(e_-^*))\Big), \quad (14)$$

where $\lambda(z)$ is defined by

$$\lambda(z) := \frac{1}{1+|f(z)|^2} = \frac{1+n^3(z)}{2}. \quad (15)$$

A discrete norm of a 1-form α is defined by $\|\alpha\|^2 := (\alpha,\alpha)$ as usual, and a discrete Hermitian scalar product of two 1-forms α and β is defined [7, 8] by discrete quantities on the edges Λ_1 as follows

$$(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{e\in\Lambda_1} \rho(e)\Big(\int_e \alpha\Big)\Big(\int_e \bar{\beta}\Big), \quad (16)$$

where the edge e runs over the infinite number of edges Λ_1. From (14) and (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\frac{df \mp i * df}{1+|f|^2}\right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{e\in\Gamma_1} \nu(e_+,e_-,e_+^*,e_-^*)\Big|\sqrt{\rho(e)}(f(e_+)-f(e_-)) \pm i\sqrt{\rho(e^*)}(f(e_+^*)-f(e_-^*))\Big|^2 \geq 0. \quad (17)\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\nu(e_+,e_-,e_+^*,e_-^*) := \left(\frac{\lambda(e_+)+\lambda(e_-)}{2}\right)^2 + \{e\to e^*\}, \quad (18)$$

in which $\{e\to e^*\}$ denotes the term that is obtained from the preceding one by replacing e with e^*. In the derivation of (17), we used the formula

$$\sum_{e\in\Lambda_1} F(e) = \sum_{e\in\Gamma_1}\Big(F(e)+F(e^*)\Big), \quad (19)$$

which holds for any function F of e because $\Lambda_1 = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_1^*$. From (17), we immediately obtain the following inequality, which is a discrete version of the inequality (3)

$$E^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} = \left\|\frac{df \mp i * df}{1+|f|^2}\right\|^2 \pm \mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} \geq |\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)}|, \quad (20)$$

where the discrete energy and area are defined by

$$E^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} := \sum_{e\in\Gamma_1} \nu(e_+,e_-,e_+^*,e_-^*)\Big(\rho(e)|f(e_+)-f(e_-)|^2 + \{e\to e^*\}\Big)^2 \geq 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} := -2\,\text{Im}\sum_{e\in\Gamma_1} \nu(e_+,e_-,e_+^*,e_-^*)\,(f(e_+)-f(e_-))\,\overline{(f(e_+^*)-f(e_-^*))}. \quad (22)$$

Here the dependence on the lattice spacings $2a$ and $2b$ is explicitly denoted by a subscript. Note that the discrete energy (21) is positive semi-definite and depends on the discrete conformal structure ρ, whereas the discrete area (22) does not have

these properties. To express (21) and (22) in terms of the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$, we use the following relations

$$|f(e_+) - f(e_-)|^2 = \frac{1}{4\lambda(e_+)\lambda(e_-)}|\boldsymbol{n}(e_+) - \boldsymbol{n}(e_-)|^2, \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Im}\{(f(e_+) - f(e_-))(\overline{f(e_+^*) - f(e_-^*)})\}\\ &\qquad = -\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_+)}{\lambda(e_+)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_-)}{\lambda(e_-)}\right) \times \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_+^*)}{\lambda(e_+^*)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_-^*)}{\lambda(e_-^*)}\right)\right\}^3.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

These are direct consequences of the definition (4) of the function f. The symbol $\{\cdots\}^3$ in (24) stands for the third component of the vector in the curly brackets. Substituting (23) and (24) into (21) and (22), respectively, we obtain the following discrete energy and area expressed in the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$

$$E^{\mathrm{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{e\in\Gamma_1}\nu(e_+, e_-, e_+^*, e_-^*)\left(\frac{\rho(e)}{\lambda(e_+)\lambda(e_-)}|\boldsymbol{n}(e_+) - \boldsymbol{n}(e_-)|^2 + \{e \to e^*\}\right), \tag{25}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(f)^{\mathrm{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{e\in\Gamma_1}\nu(e_+, e_-, e_+^*, e_-^*)\left\{\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_+)}{\lambda(e_+)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_-)}{\lambda(e_-)}\right) \times \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_+^*)}{\lambda(e_+^*)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_-^*)}{\lambda(e_-^*)}\right)\right\}^3. \tag{26}$$

Note that the discrete energy (25) is positive semi-definite and invariant under the exchange $\boldsymbol{n}(e_+) \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{n}(e_-)$ and/or the one $\boldsymbol{n}(e_+^*) \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{n}(e_-^*)$, whereas the discrete area (26) does not have these properties.

3. Discrete instanton solutions

We here illustrate certain classical discrete instanton solutions obtained from polynomials up to degree two in z. The linear function is discrete holomorphic for any graphs. Furthermore, the quadratic function is discrete holomorphic only for parallelogram graphs.

The simplest linear function $f(z) = z$, for example, gives us the following discrete instanton solution

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \left(\frac{2x}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{2y}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{1-x^2-y^2}{1+x^2+y^2}\right), \tag{27}$$

where (x, y) is restricted to the vertices $\Lambda_0 = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_0^*$ according to the following rule

$$(x, y) = \begin{cases} (2aj, 2bk) & \text{for } \ z \in \Gamma_0 \\ ((2j+1)\,a, (2k+1)\,b) & \text{for } z \in \Gamma_0^* \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

with $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If the expression (27) is used in the continuous space $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have $\lambda(z) = 1/(1+r^2)$ and $n^3(z) = (1-r^2)/(1+r^2)$, where (r, θ) are the polar coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^2$. When $r = 1$, we have $\boldsymbol{n} = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0)$ and we see that the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ rotates *once* when the point (x, y) goes around the circle $r = 1$ once. Furthermore, we have $n^3(r = 0) = 1$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} n^3(z) = -1$ and we see that the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ points to the north of the sphere $S^2_{|\boldsymbol{n}|=1}$

at the origin and points to the south at infinity. These suggest that the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ (27) is a $q = 1$ instanton solution in the continuous space $\mathbb{R}^2$; indeed, we can verify this as

$$q = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x \frac{4}{(1+x^2+y^2)^2} = 1. \tag{29}$$

Next, we illustrate an instanton solution with $q = 2$ derived from the simplest quadratic function $f(z) = z^2$. This yields the following discrete instanton solution

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \left(\frac{2(x^2-y^2)}{1+(x^2+y^2)^2}, \frac{4xy}{1+(x^2+y^2)^2}, \frac{1-(x^2+y^2)^2}{1+(x^2+y^2)^2}\right), \tag{30}$$

where (x, y) is restricted to the vertices Λ_0 according to rule (28). In the continuous space $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have $\lambda(z) = 1/(1+r^4)$ and $n^3(z) = (1-r^4)/(1+r^4)$. As $r \to \infty$, $\lambda(z)$ decreases more rapidly than that for the linear function. When $r = 1$ we have $\boldsymbol{n} = (\cos 2\theta, \sin 2\theta, 0)$ and we see that the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ rotates *twice* when the point (x, y) goes around the circle $r = 1$ once. The expression (30) is actually a $q = 2$ instanton solution in the continuous space $\mathbb{R}^2$ as verified by

$$q = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x \frac{4(x^2+y^2)}{\left(1+(x^2+y^2)^2\right)^2} = 2. \tag{31}$$

4. Continuum limit of the discretized nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model

We now consider the continuum limit of the discretized nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model derived in the preceding section. As the lattice spacings $(2a, 2b)$ tend to $(+0, +0)$, we have, for the horizontal edge $e \in \Gamma_1$, the following limit

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(e)|\boldsymbol{n}(e_+) - \boldsymbol{n}(e_-)|^2 &= \frac{b}{a}\cdot 4a^2\left|\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_+) - \boldsymbol{n}(e_-)}{2a}\right|^2 \\ &= \Delta x\Delta y\left|\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(x+\Delta x, y) - \boldsymbol{n}(x,y)}{\Delta x}\right|^2 \\ &\to dxdy\left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{n}(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $\Delta x := 2a$, $\Delta y := 2b$ and the initial point of e is here denoted by (x, y), i.e., $(x, y) := e_-$ (Figure 2).

Similarly, for vertical edge $e \in \Gamma_1$, we have

$$\rho(e)|\boldsymbol{n}(e_+) - \boldsymbol{n}(e_-)|^2 \to dxdy\left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{n}(x,y)}{\partial y}\right|^2. \tag{33}$$

We proceed further to the vertical edge e^*, which is dual to the horizontal edge $e \in \Gamma_1$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(e^*)|\boldsymbol{n}(e^*_+) - \boldsymbol{n}(e^*_-)|^2 &= \Delta x\Delta y\left|\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(x+\Delta x/2, y+\Delta y/2) - \boldsymbol{n}(x+\Delta x/2, y-\Delta y/2)}{\Delta y}\right|^2 \\ &\to dxdy\left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{n}(x,y)}{\partial y}\right|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$



Figure 2. Edge e and its dual edge e^*

For the horizontal edge e^*, which is dual to vertical edge $e \in \Gamma_1$, we have

$$\rho(e^*)|\boldsymbol{n}(e_+^*) - \boldsymbol{n}(e_-^*)|^2 \to dxdy\left|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{n}(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|^2. \tag{35}$$

Summing up the above terms, we have the following continuum limit of the discrete energy (25)

$$E^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} \to \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x\ 2\Big(2|\partial_x \boldsymbol{n}|^2 + 2|\partial_y \boldsymbol{n}|^2\Big) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x(\partial_\mu n^\alpha)^2 = 2E, \tag{36}$$

where $d^2x := dxdy$ and we have used the fact that

$$\lambda(e_+) \to \lambda(e_-), \quad \nu(e_+, e_-, e_+^*, e_-^*) \to 2\lambda^2(e_-). \tag{37}$$

To obtain the continuum limit of the discrete area, we first note the limit

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_+)}{\lambda(e_+)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_-)}{\lambda(e_-)}\right) \times \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_+^*)}{\lambda(e_+^*)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{n}(e_-^*)}{\lambda(e_-^*)}\right)\\ &\to dxdy\,\partial_x\Big(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}}{\lambda}\Big) \times \partial_y\Big(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}}{\lambda}\Big) = dxdy\frac{1}{2\lambda^3}(n^1, n^2, n^3+1)\,\boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\partial_x \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_y \boldsymbol{n}),\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where the arguments of $\boldsymbol{n}$ and λ in (38) are (x, y) and the vector product $\partial_x \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_y \boldsymbol{n}$ is proportional to the vector $\boldsymbol{n}$. Using (38), we then have for the discrete area (26)

$$\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} \to \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x\, 2 \cdot 2\, \boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\partial_x \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_y \boldsymbol{n}) = 2 \cdot 4\pi q. \tag{39}$$

The factor 2 in front of E of (36) and $4\pi q$ of (39) requires explanation. Because the faces of the two graphs Γ and Γ^* overlap each other and both the continuum limits of the graphs Γ and Γ^* are, in a sense, the two-dimensional continuous space $\mathbb{R}^2$, the continuum limit of the double graph $\Lambda = \Gamma \cup \Gamma^*$ can be expressed as $2\mathbb{R}^2$. If this argument for the limit of the double graph Λ is legitimate, then it enables us to rewrite

the integrals (36) and (39) as ones over $2\mathbb{R}^2$ as follows:

$$E^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} \quad \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x(\partial_\mu n^\alpha)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{2\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x(\partial_\mu n^\alpha)^2, \tag{40}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)} \to 2\cdot\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x\ \boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\partial_x\boldsymbol{n}\times\partial_y\boldsymbol{n}) = \int_{2\mathbb{R}^2} d^2x\ \boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\partial_x\boldsymbol{n}\times\partial_y\boldsymbol{n}), \tag{41}$$

with correct coefficients. While this explanation for the appearance of the factor 2 is rather intuitive, a more rigorous argument is possible. Note that this doubling may be inevitable in Mercat's discrete theory of complex analysis [7, 8], which is based on the double graph $\Lambda = \Gamma \cup \Gamma^*$.

Note that the discrete area (26) is an infinite double series over $\mathbb{Z}^2$, as easily seen from the restriction of (x, y) to Λ_0 (28), and therefore its evaluation is not easy for general a and b. In contrast, the continuous area $\mathcal{A}(f) = 4\pi q$ (5) can be easily evaluated by integrating the double integrals, as shown in (29) and (31).

5. Concluding remarks

We discretized the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model and obtained the discrete energy $E^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)}$ (25), the discrete area $\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)}$ (26), and an inequality (20) that relates both. Saturation of the inequality implies discrete (anti-)holomorphicity of the function f (4) and the discrete instanton solutions obtained from polynomials up to degree two in z are illustrated.

We have observed in the preceding section that the discrete energy $E^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)}$ (25) and the discrete area $\mathcal{A}(f)^{\text{disc.}}_{(2a,2b)}$ (26) tend to the original energy E and the area $\mathcal{A}(f) = 4\pi q$, respectively, except for a factor 2, in the continuum limit $(a, b) \to (+0, +0)$. We have given an explanation of this factor 2; if the continuum limit of the double graph $\Lambda = \Gamma \cup \Gamma^*$ is $2\mathbb{R}^2$, then we obtain integrals over $2\mathbb{R}^2$ (40) and (41) with correct coefficients.

Mercat defines a discrete exponential $\exp(:\lambda: z) := \prod_k \left(1+\frac{\lambda\delta}{2}e^{i\theta_k}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda\delta}{2}e^{i\theta_k}\right)^{-1}$ for the vertices $z = \sum_k \delta e^{i\theta_k}$ with δ being a lattice spacing [7, 8]. This function is discrete holomorphic and tends to the ordinary exponential function $e^{\lambda x}$ if it is restricted on the real axis ($\theta_k = 0$ or π) and if a continuum limit $\delta \to 0$ is imposed. It is quite interesting to examine the properties of the solutions that are derived from this discrete holomorphic exponential function.

We have in this paper treated the simple rectangular graph Γ, but non-rectangular graphs, for example the diamond graph [7, 8], are also possible. Further, because our discretization of the nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model corresponds to the discrete Riemann surface of genus zero, higher genus cases were omitted. They remain open problems for future research.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank T. Sano for helpful discussions about two-dimensional graphs. Y.T. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (Grant No. 25800053) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology in Japan.

References

[1] Belavin A A, Polyakov A M, Schwarz A S and Tyupkin Yu S 1975 Pseudoparticle solutions of the Yang-Mills equations *Phys. Lett.* **B59** 85

[2] Bogomol'nyi E B 1976 The stability of classical solutions *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24** 449; Prasad M K and Sommerfield C M 1975 Exact Classical Solution for the 't Hooft Monopole and the Julia-Zee Dyon *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35** 760

[3] Belavin A A and Polyakov A M 1975 Metastable states of two-dimensional isotropic ferromagnets *Sov. Phys.-JETP Lett.* **22** 245

[4] Rajaraman R 1987 *Solitons and Instantons* (Elsevier, North-Holland Personal Library)

[5] Manton N and Sutcliffe P 2004 *Topological Solitons* (Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge)

[6] Wilson S O 2008 Conformal cochains *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** 5247

[7] Mercat C 2001 Discrete Riemann surfaces and Ising model *Comm. Math. Phys.* **218** 177

[8] Mercat C 2007 Discrete Riemann surfaces (Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. I, 541 , IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., **11**, Eur. Math. Soc. Zürich); arXiv:0802.1612v1 [math.CV]

[9] Bobenko A I and Suris Y B 2008 *Discrete Differential Geometry: Integrable Structure* (Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 98, AMS)